



ETP ZEP CO-ORDINATION GROUP #27

Bellona, Rue du Trône 61, 1050 Brussels.
2nd week February 2009 (date still to be set): 1000-1630

Draft Agenda

0 Introductions and Aims of Meeting (*interim chair*)

1000-1005

- Nick Otter has left us for the position of interim CEO of the global CCS Institute. At the last CG-telcon of 19 December we agreed a procedure for his replacement that is now being executed.
- Primary purpose of this meeting is to prepare the next AC-meeting.
- I therefore propose the following agenda:
 - To start with reflecting on the draft agenda for that AC-meeting
 - To then discuss the individual agenda items
 - At the end to review and finalise the AC agenda
- Graeme will call in at ?? hrs.
- Key questions:
 - Does everyone agree with this agenda?
 - Does everyone agree with the draft decisions and minutes of the last CG-meeting?

1 Draft agenda AC#18 (Hans Modder)

1005-1015

Draft agenda AC#18 has been distributed. Key questions to be answered:

- Do we have the right agenda issues: which ones are missing, which ones should be added?
- Do we have enough time allocated to each of the agenda issues?
- Agree with the sequence?

2 Opening AC#18 (Hans Modder)

1015-1030

Decisions last AC-meetings #16 and #17 will be shown. Attention will be drawn to:

- AC#16 Decision 1: The organisational structure has been distributed as part of the documents for this meeting. Key question: does everyone agree with the contents and can it be distributed to the AC-members?
- AC#16 Decision 7: nick, when still in function, and Gijs are of the opinion it is better to await the current developments around the comitology process and not rush into a new strategy. We will however at AC#17 dwell upon it (agenda issue 3, external recent developments)
- AC#17 Action 17.1: the paper has been included in the meeting documents
- AC#17 Action 17.2: the paper has been included in the meeting documents

Key question: did we address all the decisions and actions appropriately?

Milestones ahead:

- Key question: are there any milestones that need to be added?

3 Internal issues

1030-1100

- AC Agendapoint 2.1, reporting ZEP activities 2008: Key question: does the CG agree that this presentation be given at the AC#18, as an information point?
- AC Agendapoint 2.2: At the last AC meeting it was decided that the CG rethinks the ZEP strategy and organisation (decision 7), to be presented at the next AC-meeting. Gijs Vriesman is asked to depict the comitology process that is now under way and will



propose a process for zep to re-examine its strategy and organisation [Robert: still needs to be agreed with gijs]. Key question does the CG agree to this process?

- Agendapoint 2.3: chairmanship CG. The interim chair will summarize the procedure. The comments on the selection criteria will be summarized and final selection criteria will be proposed. Key question: does the CG now agree to this set of criteria?

4 External recent developments

1100-1145

Gijs is asked to report on the interaction with the commission regarding the comitology process

5 Letter to Davies and the EPS position paper

1145-1230

Both documents were prepared at the end of 2008. At AC#17 it was decided that the paper on EPN be submitted to the AC. Key question: should they be submitted to the AC for approval?

Working Lunch/Stretch Break

1230-1330

6 Government Group

1330-1400

[Question to GG about input and key questions]

7 Communication plan (Klaus Willnow and Eric Drosin)

1400-1630

[Question to TCom about the input and the key questions]

8 Possible Presentation for future AC Meeting (Hans Modder)

1630-1635

In an earlier CG-meeting Nick Otter proposed to have a presentation on the Northern Netherlands CCS Initiative: presentation of business case for a full chain with capture at post and pre-combustion plant (RWE and Nuon), transport and storage on shore involving partners like Gasunie and NAM. Approach has come from Dr. Desmond I. de Vries, Project Manager CCS in the Northern Netherlands, Province of Groningen. Key question: (when) do we invite them to give this presentation to the AC?

9 Miscellaneous

1635-1645

Dates for next AC-meetings

proposed dates AC meetings 2009

	hill	Sweeney	Lambertz	hauge	soothill	appert
15-apr	y	N	n, 2-4 or 12-5			
15-jul	y	Y	n, 1-7 or 29-7			
23-sep	y	Y	n, 6-9 or 30-9			
2-dec	y	n, 1-12 or 3-12	n, 3-12 or 8-12			

10 Summary of actions and decisions

1645-1700

11 Close of meeting

1700

COMMENTS ON DRAFT AGENDA:

Klaus Willnow:

The agenda should be changed as follows:

- The discussion about Complan should be just 90 Minutes. 2,5 h seems too much. Instead other Agenda items are a bit too tight. Now you have more time.
- I would prefer to have the Complan discussion prior to any discussion about structure. It is crucial that we have first all topics on the table which have an influence on the structure discussion.



Niels Peter Christensen:

- Agenda looks OK but crowded for time - suggest you we postpone any externally invited presentations.

Gardiner Hill:

- One of the key items we said that ZEP should review early in 09 was what the objectives for this second phase of ZEP should be. I think this is important and we should use the first two months of the year to work on this in the CG so we have something substantial ready for the AC meeting.